The 2nd September, 1983

No: ID/FD/168-83/45128.— Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Suresh Dass and the management of M/s (i) S. P. Sharma Contractor C/o M/s Vardhman Spinning Mills Ltd., (Rolling Mills Division) Plot No, 264 Sector 24, Faridabad. (ii) M/s. Vardhman Spinning & General Mills Ltd., (Rolling Mills Division) 264/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Suresh Dass was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

MEENAXI ANAND CHAUDHRY,

Joint Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour Department.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

ORDER

The 19th August, 1983

No. ID/RTK/145-83/42103.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Chhote Lal and the management of M/s Chhabra Industrie; M.I.E., Bahadurgath. Rothak, 'regarding the matter hereinafter appearing!

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Chhote Lel was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/109-82/42110?—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Mouji Ram and the management of M/s Ved Lakshmi Flour and General Mills. (P) Ltd.. Bhiwani road. Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-1-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said man gement and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mouji Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/79-83/42117.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Nath Bhagat and the management of M/s Murarka Engg. Works M.J.E. Bahadurgarh Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Nath Bhagat was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?